



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,105	04/01/2004	Emmanuel Audic	AVAN/001122	2891
47389 7590 09/20/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP 3040 POST OAK BLVD SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77056			EXAMINER PHAN, HANH	
			ART UNIT 2613	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/20/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/817,105

Applicant(s)

AUDIC ET AL.

Examiner

Hanh Phan

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 8-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-7 and 20 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 06/25/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 8-10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugawara (US Patent No. 6,057,951) in view of Cornelius et al (US Patent No. 6,490,080) **OR** Heidemann (US Patent No. 5,335,109).

Regarding claims 1, 8-10 and 18, referring to Figure 1, Sugawara teaches an optical receiver, comprising :

an optical pre-amplifier (i.e., optical fiber amplifier 1, Fig. 1) for receiving an input light signal (i.e., optical input signal, Fig. 1), the optical pre-amplifier (i.e., optical fiber amplifier 1, Fig. 1) employing no carrier filters in the optical pre-amplifier (i.e., col. 1, lines 5-61) ;

a photodiode (i.e., optical detector 2, Fig. 1); coupled to the optical pre-amplifier, for converting the input light signal into an electrical current signal (i.e., col. 1, lines 5-61);

an electrical amplifier (i.e., equalization amplifier 4, Fig. 1), coupled to the photodiode (i.e., optical detector 2, Fig. 1), for amplifying the electrical current signal (i.e., col. 1, lines 5-61); and

a control loop (i.e., peak detection circuit 7, error amplification circuit 9 and gain control circuit 10, Fig. 1), coupled to the amplifier (amplifier 4, Fig. 1), for adjusting the optical signal generated by the pre-amplifier (optical amplifier 1, Fig. 1) relative to the output electrical current signal generated by the amplifier, wherein the control loop is configured to maintain the input light signal sent to the PIN diode substantially constant (i.e., amplifier 4, Fig. 1, col. 1, lines 5-61).

Sugawara differs from claims 1, 8-10 and 18 in that he fails to specifically teach the photodiode is a PIN diode, the electrical amplifier is a transimpedance amplifier and the optical pre-amplifier includes a pump laser coupled to an optical multiplexer. Cornelius et al, from the same filed of endeavor, likewise teaches an optical receiver includes an optical pre-amplifier (Figures 1, 2 and 4). Cornelius further teaches the optical receiver comprising a photodiode is a PIN diode (i.e., a PIN diode 560, Fig. 4), an electrical amplifier is a transimpedance amplifier (i.e., transimpedance amplifier TIA 570, Fig. 4) and the optical pre-amplifier includes a pump laser coupled to an optical multiplexer (i.e., optical coupler 525, Fig. 1, from col. 3, line 65 to col. 7, line 35) **OR** Heidemann, from the same filed of endeavor, likewise teaches an optical receiver includes an optical pre-amplifier (Figure 1). Heidemann further teaches the optical receiver comprising a photodiode is a diode 1, an electrical amplifier 2 and the optical pre-amplifier 10 includes a pump laser 4 coupled to an optical multiplexer 5 (i.e., Fig. 1,

Art Unit: 2613

col. 1, lines 65-67 and col. 2, lines 1-46) . Based on this teaching, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the photodiode is a PIN diode, the electrical amplifier is a transimpedance amplifier and the optical pre-amplifier includes a pump laser coupler to an optical multiplexer as taught by Cornelius et al **OR** Heidemann in the system of Sugawara. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since allowing providing an optical receiver with high sensitivity and wide dynamic range, and reducing noise signal and cost of the system and providing a current-to-voltage converter is used to condition the signal for proper handling by a controller.

4. Claims 11-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugawara (US Patent No. 6,057,951) in view of Cornelius et al (US Patent No. 6,522,461) **OR** Heidemann (US Patent No. 5,335,109) and further in view of Shi et al (Pub. No.: US 2005/0031355).

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Sugawara and Cornelius et al **OR** Heideman teaches all the aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection to claim 1 above except fails to specifically teach a controller, a transmitter coupled to the controller and a receiver coupled to the controller. Shi et al, from the same filed of endeavor, likewise teaches an optical transponder (100, Fig. 1). Shi et al further teaches the optical transponder (100, Fig. 1) comprises a controller (400, Fig. 1), a transmitter (300, Fig. 1) coupled to the controller (400, Fig. 1) and a receiver (200, Fig. 1) coupled to the controller (400, Fig. 1)(i.e., Fig. 1, page 2, paragraphs [0022]-[0029]). Based on

this teaching, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the optical transponder comprises a controller, a transmitter coupled to the controller and a receiver coupled to the controller as taught by Shi et al. in the system of the combination of Sugawara and Cornelius et al OR Heidemann. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since allowing providing an bidirectional optical communication system with high speed and high capacity and reducing the error signals.

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Sugawara, Cornelius et al OR Heidemann and Shi et al teaches the control loop comprises a level detector for generating a level signal relative to the peak or average value of the output electrical voltage signal (i.e., Fig. 1 of Sugawara and Fig. 4 of Cornelius et al, from col. 3, line 65 to col. 7, line 35).

Regarding claims 13 and 19, the combination of Sugawara, Cornelius et al OR Heidemann and Shi et al teaches the control loop comprises an automatic gain controller for generating a control voltage signal for controlling the level of optical amplification generated by adjusting the current of a pump laser in the optical pre-amplifier (i.e., Fig. 1 of Sugawara and Fig. 4 of Cornelius et al, from col. 3, line 65 to col. 7, line 35).

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Sugawara, Cornelius et al OR Heidemann and Shi et al teaches further comprising a clock/data regenerator coupled to the transimpedance amplifier (i.e., Fig. 1 of Sugawara and Fig. 4 of Cornelius et al, from col. 3, line 65 to col. 7, line 35).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Sugawara, Cornelius et al OR Heidemann and Shi et al teaches the optical transmitter comprises an electronic multiplexer having inputs for receiving a plurality of inputs and generating a multiplexed output signal, a driver, coupled to the electronic multiplexer, for driving the multiplexed output signal from the electronic multiplexer and generating a driver output signal; and a modulator, coupled to the driver, for modulating the input light of the modulator (i.e., Fig. 1 of Shi et al, page 2, paragraphs [0022]-[0029]).

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Sugawara, Cornelius et al OR Heidemann and Shi et al teaches a demultiplexer coupled to the optical amplifier PIN receiver (i.e., Fig. 1 of Shi et al, page 2, paragraphs [0022]-[0029]).

Regarding claim 17, Sugawara teaches further comprising a coupler (i.e., optical splitter 14, Fig. 9) and a power detector (i.e., output level detection circuit 15, Fig. 9) coupled to the input of the optical PIN receiver (i.e., col. 8, lines 60-67 and col. 9, lines 1-54).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-7 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.


**HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**